assignment 1

these are our solutions to the first assignment of TDT4136. this document was created using typst.

part 1	 2
1)	
2)	 2
a) BFS	 2
b) DFS	
c) UCS	
part 2	 3
3)	 3
a) greedy best first search	 3
b) A*	
part 3	 3
4)	 3
5)	 4
6)	 4
7)	 4
8)	 5
bonus task	 5
9)	 5

this is the collaborative effort of group 192, Erlend Ulvund Skaarberg and Fredrik Robertsen

part 1

1) these are the costs

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I
Α							4		1
В			4					3	2
С		4			1				
D					2	1			
E			1	2				1	
F				1					
G	4								1
Н		3			1				
Ι	1	2					1		

2)

a) BFS

• order of expansion: A, G, I, B, C, H, E, D

• found path: A, I, B, C, E, D, F

• path cost: 1 + 2 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 11

b) DFS

• order of expansion: A, G, I, B, C, E, D, F

• found path: A, G, I, B, C, E, D, F

• path cost: 4 + 1 + 2 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 15

c) UCS

- order of expansion: A, I, B, H, C, E, D
- found path: A, I, B, H, C, E, D, F
- path cost: 1 + 2 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 11

part 2

3)

a) greedy best first search

- order of expansion: A, I, B, C, E, D
- found path: A, I, B, C, E, D, F
- path cost: 1 + 2 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 11

b) A*

- order of expansion: A, I, B, C, E, D
- found path: A, I, B, C, E, D, F
- path cost: 1 + 2 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 11

part 3

4)

admissibility is needed for A^* to be optimal.

our heuristics are inadmissible, as they overestimate the cost of the optimal path from each node to the goal. if we look at the graph, the heuristics may encode a sense of distance between each node accurately, however the problem states that the cost of an action is the same between any two neighboring nodes (unless there is a lift or a staircase present). thus, the heuristic estimates are not very optimistic.

5)

the heuristic h(E) = 5 is an overestimation, as the shortest path to the goal F is trivially seen to be 3. this is a counter-example to the statement that the heuristic function h(n) is admissible, as admissibility is defined as

$$h(n) \leqslant C^*(n) \quad \forall \quad n \in V$$

where $C^*(n)$ is the cost of the optimal path from the node n.

6)

- order of expansion: A, I, B, H, E, D
- found path: A, I, B, H, E, D, F
- path cost: 1 + 2 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 10

7)

the new heuristic function h'(n) is admissible, because it does not overestimate the cost of getting from any node to the goal. thus we can see that when performing A^* in 6) we find the cost-optimal path from A to F.

suppose that there is a node $n \in V$ such that

$$h'(n) > C^*(n)$$
.

if such a node exists, then h'(n) is inadmissible. since no such node exists, h'(n) is admissible.

admissibility guarantees that A^* finds the cost-optimal path, however it says nothing about optimal efficiency, like consistency (see 8)).

8)

consistency of a heuristic h(n) is defined as a triangle inequality

$$h(n) \leqslant c(n, \alpha, n') + h(n')$$

where c(n, a, n') denotes the cost of the path-action a from n to n'.

to see whether the heuristic h'(n) is consistent, we must investigate each child node of each node on the cost-optimal path to see if this identity holds.

- from A, it is not cheaper to get to I through G, thus the identity holds.
- from B, it is not cheaper to get to H through C, thus the identity holds.
- from H, it is not cheaper to get to E through C, thus the identity holds.

these are all the nodes on the cost-optimal path with multiple children where inconsistency could occur, but these cases are consistent, thus our heuristic h'(n) is consistent.

bonus task

9)

if we let f(n) = g(n) + h(n) in Best-First-Search(problem, f) with a heuristic h(n) that is inadmissible

for at least one node on the cost-optimal path, unless it is consistent.

however, we can also change the code implementation of A^* to require consistency of f(n):

```
function BEST-FIRST-SEARCH(problem,f) returns a solution node or failure
node←NODE(STATE=problem.INITIAL)
frontier ←a priority queue ordered by f, with node as an element
 reached←a lookup table, with one entry with key problem.INITIAL and value node
while not IS-EMPTY(frontier) do
  node←POP(frontier)
   if problem.IS-GOAL(node.STATE) then return node
   for each child in EXPAND(problem, node) do
     s←child.STATE
     if s is not in reached or child.PATH-COST < reached[s].PATH-COST then
       reached[s]←child
       add child to frontier
       // calculate all parts of triangle inequality
       h \leftarrow f(n) - node.PATH-COST
       h' \leftarrow f(n) - child.PATH-COST
       c←child.PATH-COST - node.PATH-COST
       if h > c + h' then return failure // fail on inconsistency
 return failure
```